

Unlocking Potential: How Cloud-Based HR Systems Transform Performance Management and Drive Productivity in Asian Enterprises

Febryantahanuji^{1*}, Nur Hazwani Dzulkefly², Soomal Fatima³, Bhadrappa Haralayya⁴

¹ University of Computer Science and Technology, Indonesia; e-mail : febryan@stekom.ac.id

² University Kuala Lumpur Malaysian, Malaysia; e-mail : nurhazwani@unikl.edu.my

³ Bahria University Karachi Campus , Pakistan; e-mail : soomalfatima123@gmail.com

⁴ Lingaraj Appa Engineering College Bidar-585403, Karnataka, India; e-mail : bhadrapabhavimani@gmail.com

* Corresponding Author : Febryantahanuji

Abstract: This research examines the effectiveness of cloud-based Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS) in enhancing performance management processes and employee productivity in Asian organizations. Using a mixed-method approach, the study involved 1,500 respondents from 50 organizations across five Asian countries, combining quantitative surveys, in-depth interviews, and document analysis. Results indicate that specific cloud-based HRIS features, particularly Performance Analytics Dashboards and Real-time Goal Management, strongly correlate with performance management effectiveness dimensions ($R^2 = 0.58$) and significantly contribute to improved employee productivity. Contextual factors such as hierarchical orientation ($\beta = -0.31$) and top management support ($\beta = 0.58$) have significant moderating effects, confirming the importance of fit between technology, organization, and cultural context. Significant perception differences were identified between HR managers and employees, particularly regarding data privacy concerns. Based on these findings, the study developed a 5-component implementation model comprising contextual readiness assessment, phased feature implementation, process integration, user adoption strategy, and continuous evaluation framework. The research contribution includes comprehensive empirical understanding of specific relationships between cloud-based HRIS features and organizational outcomes in the Asian context, and a practical framework for organizations to optimize HR technology investments.

Keywords: Cloud-based HRIS, performance management, employee productivity, Asian context, digital transformation

Received: May 03, 2025

Revised: July 24, 2025

Accepted: July 09, 2025

Published: July 28, 2025

Current version: July, 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors.
Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY SA) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

Digital transformation has fundamentally reshaped how organizations manage their human resources, with cloud-based Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS) emerging as one of the most significant innovations of the past decade. Cloud-based HRIS refers to technological platforms that enable organizations to access, manage, and analyze HR data in real-time via the internet, without requiring substantial IT infrastructure investments [1]. This technological evolution offers substantial flexibility, scalability, and cost-efficiency compared to traditional on-premise systems [2].

In today's highly competitive business landscape, organizations face increasing pressure to manage performance and maximize employee productivity more effectively. This phenomenon is particularly pronounced in Asia, where rapid economic growth is accompanied by

rising labor costs and intensified competition for high-quality talent. The Asian labor market has witnessed an 18% annual increase in demand for digital HR professionals since 2018, reflecting the growing need for digitization of HR processes [3].

The adoption of cloud-based HRIS in Asia is growing at an unprecedented rate, with the market projected to reach USD 4.3 billion by 2026, up from USD 2.1 billion in 2021 [4]. However, despite the increase in adoption, a significant gap remains in the optimal utilization of these systems. A study conducted by [5] involving 267 companies across six Asian countries revealed that only 34% reported successfully leveraging cloud-based HRIS to drive measurable improvements in employee performance.

This phenomenon is further complicated by the cultural and organizational contexts prevalent in Asia. As [6] noted, cultural values and hierarchical organizational structures that dominate many Asian organizations often conflict with the principles of transparency and autonomy underlying many cloud-based HRIS developed from Western perspectives. Consequently, many organizations encounter difficulties integrating these systems into their existing performance management practices.

The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of cloud-based HRIS, with 76% of organizations in Asia reporting increased investments in HR technologies to support remote work arrangements [7]. However, this rapid transition has also exposed gaps in organizational readiness, data security, and system integration. According to a survey by [8] of 412 HR professionals in Southeast Asia, 67% reported significant challenges integrating cloud-based HRIS with existing performance management systems, while 58% expressed difficulties in measuring the direct impact of the system on employee productivity.

Investigating the effectiveness of cloud-based HRIS in enhancing performance management processes and employee productivity is crucial for several reasons. First, HR technology investments in Asia continue to rise, with regional spending projected to reach USD 9.4 billion by 2025 [9]. Without a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing system effectiveness, organizations risk making suboptimal investment decisions and failing to fully realize the value of these technologies.

Second, competition for talent in Asia is intensifying, with 78% of organizations reporting difficulties in attracting and retaining high-performing employees [10]. Cloud-based HRIS promises enhanced capabilities in identifying, developing, and retaining talent through improved performance management, yet this potential remains largely unrealized in many organizations.

Third, the post-pandemic shift toward hybrid work models has created an urgent need for performance management systems that can operate effectively in distributed work environments. According to [11], 81% of companies in Asia plan to maintain some form of remote work, emphasizing the critical role of robust digital platforms in supporting performance management.

Fourth, despite the significant growth in cloud-based HRIS adoption, a substantial measurement gap persists in evaluating its impact. [12] found that 72% of organizations in Asia lacked clear metrics for assessing the return on investment (ROI) of their cloud-based HRIS deployments, highlighting the need for stronger evaluation frameworks.

Although academic and practical interest in cloud-based HRIS has increased, several significant research gaps remain, particularly in the Asian context. A comprehensive literature review conducted as part of this study identified several key gaps:

First, most research on cloud-based HRIS effectiveness has focused on adoption and implementation, with relatively limited attention to the post-implementation impact on performance management processes and productivity outcomes [13]. [1] confirmed that despite the extensive literature on e-HRM adoption, there remains a substantial gap in research evaluating its long-term impact on organizational and employee outcomes.

Second, existing studies have predominantly adopted technological or organizational perspectives, with limited attention to user perspectives and contextual factors that may influence system effectiveness. [3] emphasized the importance of understanding user perspectives and organizational fit to optimize HRIS effectiveness.

Third, the majority of research on cloud-based HRIS originates from Western contexts, offering limited insights into the unique dynamics and implementation challenges in Asia [4]. [6] highlighted that factors such as cultural values, data regulations, and technological infrastructure can significantly influence system effectiveness across different regional contexts.

Fourth, to the best of the researcher's knowledge, no comprehensive studies have linked specific features and functionalities of cloud-based HRIS to measurable performance management and employee productivity metrics within Asian organizations. [7] identified this as a critical gap in the literature, highlighting the need for research connecting system capabilities with specific performance outcomes.

Fifth, longitudinal studies assessing the evolution and sustainability of benefits derived from cloud-based HRIS over time are exceedingly rare, despite being essential for understanding the systems' long-term impact. [11] stressed the necessity of longitudinal studies to capture how the value of cloud-based HRIS develops with continued use and integration.

Based on the background and identified research gaps, this study seeks to address the following main research question:

How effective are cloud-based Human Resource Information Systems in enhancing performance management processes and employee productivity within Asian organizations?

This main research question can be further elaborated into the following sub-questions:

1. Which specific features and functionalities of cloud-based HRIS are most effective in supporting performance management processes in Asian organizations?
2. How do contextual factors (cultural, organizational, technological) influence the effectiveness of cloud-based HRIS in enhancing performance management and employee productivity?
3. What is the relationship between cloud-based HRIS implementation and measurable employee productivity metrics within Asian organizations?
4. How do managers and employees perceive the value of cloud-based HRIS in supporting performance management, and how do these perceptions influence system usage and effectiveness?
5. What are the optimal implementation and evaluation models for maximizing the effectiveness of cloud-based HRIS in enhancing performance management and employee productivity in the Asian context?

By addressing these questions, this study aims to make a significant contribution to the theoretical understanding of cloud-based HRIS in the Asian context and to provide practical guidance for organizations seeking to maximize the value of their investments in this technology.

2. Preliminaries or Related Work or Literature Review

2.1. Evolution of Cloud-Based Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS)

Cloud-based HRIS have undergone significant evolution over the past decade, transitioning from simple data storage systems to comprehensive analytical platforms supporting strategic decision-making. [14] classify this evolution into three generations: the first generation (2000–

2010) focused on digitizing HR records; the second generation (2010–2015) emphasized improved integration and accessibility; and the third generation (2015–present) encompasses predictive analytics and machine learning capabilities. [15] highlight that the shift to cloud-based platforms has fundamentally transformed HRIS capabilities, enabling greater employee engagement and real-time data analytics.

Recent research by [16] indicates that organizations in Asia are adopting cloud-based HRIS at a faster rate than their global counterparts, with adoption rates increasing by 34% over the past five years. However, [17] identified that the utilization of these systems is often limited to basic administrative functions, with the use of more advanced performance management features remaining relatively low.

2.2 The Impact of Cloud-Based HRIS on Performance Management

Contemporary literature regarding the impact of cloud-based HRIS on performance management presents mixed results. [18] conducted a longitudinal study across 43 technology firms in Asia, finding that the implementation of cloud-based HRIS was associated with a 27% improvement in the timeliness of performance data collection and an 18% increase in employee satisfaction with performance management processes. Conversely, [19] observed minimal impact on employees' perceptions of evaluation fairness in Chinese manufacturing firms, underscoring the importance of contextual factors in determining effectiveness.

A meta-analysis by [20], integrating findings from 78 empirical studies, concluded that cloud-based HRIS have a moderately positive impact on performance management metrics, with larger effect sizes observed in organizations characterized by strong technological cultures. However, [21] argue that this impact is significantly mediated by employee engagement and management support, noting that the mere implementation of technology seldom leads to substantive changes in performance management practices.

In contrast to previous studies that focused primarily on process metrics, this study explicitly measures the relationship between specific features of cloud-based HRIS and employee performance outcomes, using both objective and subjective metrics across various organizational contexts in Asia.

2.3 Cloud-Based HRIS and Employee Productivity

The relationship between cloud-based HRIS and employee productivity remains an underexplored area, with existing research suggesting a complex interplay. [22] observed a 12% increase in productivity following the implementation of cloud-based HRIS in an Asian financial services company; however, they noted that these gains were primarily attributable to the reduction of administrative workloads rather than substantive improvements in employee performance.

An integrative framework proposed by [23] suggests that the impact of cloud-based HRIS on productivity is moderated by three key factors: technical fit with organizational needs, employees' digital skills, and strategic alignment with business goals. This approach aligns with findings from [24], who reported significant variations in productivity outcomes depending on the quality of system implementation and integration.

[25] argue that traditional measurements of employee productivity (such as output per hour) may not fully capture the value contributed by cloud-based HRIS, proposing a comprehensive framework that includes indicators of quality, innovation, and collaboration. This broader perspective is reinforced by [26], who found that cloud-based HRIS are most effective when enhancing collaboration and knowledge sharing, rather than merely optimizing individual task efficiency.

This study extends the existing literature by articulating a clearer causal pathway between cloud-based HRIS and multiple dimensions of employee productivity, taking into account contextual factors that moderate this relationship.

2.4 Contextual Influences on the Effectiveness of Cloud-Based HRIS

While global research on HRIS has expanded, literature specifically addressing contextual factors in Asia remains relatively limited. [27] identified that collectivist cultural values influence how employees interact with HR technologies in South Korea and Japan, with a stronger preference for collective feedback over individual evaluations. Similarly, [28] found that strong hierarchical structures in Southeast Asian organizations could hinder the effective use of HRIS features designed to promote transparency and lateral collaboration.

A cross-cultural analysis by [29] revealed significant differences in the adoption and usage of cloud-based HRIS across various Asian countries, with contextual factors such as technological infrastructure, regulatory frameworks, and leadership norms moderating system effectiveness. [30] further noted that variations in labor regulations and data protection laws significantly affect the implementation of cloud-based HRIS in Asia, introducing complexities absent in more homogenous markets.

Although some studies have addressed specific contextual factors, this study adopts a comprehensive multilevel approach that simultaneously captures the cultural, organizational, and technological dynamics shaping the effectiveness of cloud-based HRIS in Asia.

3. Proposed Method

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach to evaluate the effectiveness of cloud-based Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS) in enhancing performance management processes and employee productivity within Asian organizations. The methodological design is based on the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) framework developed by [31] and adapted for the context of cloud-based HRIS by [9].

3.1 Research Framework

The research was conducted through four sequential and interconnected phases, as illustrated in Figure 1:

Initial Exploration: Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 30 HR and IT executives from 15 organizations across five Asian countries (Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, and the Philippines) to identify key dimensions of cloud-based HRIS effectiveness.

Instrument Development: Based on the initial exploration findings and a comprehensive literature review, a validated questionnaire was developed to measure three primary constructs: (a) features and implementation of cloud-based HRIS; (b) effectiveness of performance management processes; and (c) employee productivity metrics.

Quantitative Survey: The questionnaire was distributed to 500 HR professionals and 1,000 employees from 50 organizations that had implemented cloud-based HRIS for at least one year.

Triangulation and Validation: Quantitative data were analyzed and triangulated with in-depth interviews (n=40) and system documentation analysis to validate findings and develop a comprehensive model.

This mixed-methods approach aligns with recommendations by [3], who emphasize the importance of triangulation in HRIS research to capture the complexity of implementation and its impact at both individual and organizational levels.

3.2 Sampling and Data Collection

The sample was selected using a purposive-stratified sampling strategy to ensure adequate representation across various industries (manufacturing, financial services, information technology, retail, and healthcare), organizational sizes, and stages of cloud-based HRIS

implementation. The main inclusion criteria were: (1) organizations must have implemented cloud-based HRIS for at least 12 months; (2) the system must include a performance management module; and (3) organizations must operate in at least one of the targeted Asian countries.

Quantitative data were collected using a survey instrument developed based on validated scales from [2] for measuring cloud-based HRIS implementation, [17] for performance management effectiveness, and [22] for employee productivity metrics. All constructs were measured using a 7-point Likert scale. Content validity of the instrument was ensured through an expert panel review and a pilot test involving 50 respondents, resulting in Cronbach's alpha coefficients exceeding 0.85 for all subscales, surpassing the recommended threshold of 0.7 suggested by [32].

3.3 Data Analysis

Data analysis followed a two-phase approach, as illustrated in the following structural equations:

Phase 1: Confirmatory Factor Analysis

The measurement model was evaluated using the following equation:

$$X = \Lambda_x \xi + \delta \quad Y = \Lambda_y \eta + \epsilon$$

Where X and Y represent vectors of observed variables, Λ_x and Λ_y are factor loading matrices, ξ and η are vectors of exogenous and endogenous latent variables, respectively, and δ and ϵ are vectors of measurement errors.

Phase 2: Structural Equation Modeling

The relationships between latent constructs were analyzed using the following equation:

$$\eta = B\eta + \Gamma\xi + \zeta$$

Where B represents the matrix of coefficients for the effects of endogenous latent variables on other endogenous latent variables, Γ is the matrix of coefficients for the effects of exogenous latent variables on endogenous latent variables, and ζ is the vector of residuals.

Model fit was evaluated using standard indicators recommended by Wang et al. (2021): CFI > 0.95, TLI > 0.95, RMSEA < 0.06, and SRMR < 0.08.

Qualitative data from interviews were analyzed using a thematic analysis approach based on [33], employing a two-level coding process (open coding followed by axial coding) to identify key themes and patterns. Thematic analysis software was utilized for the management and analysis of qualitative data, achieving inter-rater reliability greater than 0.80 across all coding categories.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Sample Characteristics

This study involved 1,500 respondents, comprising 500 HR professionals and 1,000 employees from 50 organizations across five Asian countries. Table 1 presents the demographic distribution of the respondents.

Table 1. Respondent Demographic Characteristics

Characteristic	Frequency	Percentage
----------------	-----------	------------

Position			
HR Professionals	500		33.33%
Employees	1,000		66.67%
Country			
Indonesia	345		23.00%
Malaysia	320		21.33%
Singapore	285		19.00%
Thailand	290		19.33%
Philippines	260		17.33%
Industry Sector			
Manufacturing	385		25.67%
Financial Services	365		24.33%
Information Technology	340		22.67%
Retail	220		14.67%
Healthcare	190		12.67%
Organization Size			
Small (<100 employees)	180		12.00%
Medium (100–500 employees)	520		34.67%
Large (>500 employees)	800		53.33%
Stage of Cloud-Based HRIS Implementation			
1–2 years	680		45.33%
3–5 years	630		42.00%

4.1.1 Cloud-Based HRIS Features and Performance Management Effectiveness

The first research question explored which specific features and functionalities of cloud-based HRIS are most effective in supporting performance management processes. Table 2 presents the correlation analysis results between various HRIS features and performance management dimensions.

Table 2. Correlations Between Cloud-Based HRIS Features and Performance Management Dimensions

Predictor Variable	Work Ef- ficiency		Output Quality		Team Collabo- ration		Innova- tion	
	β	p	β	p	β	p	β	p
Step 1: Control Variables								
Organization Size	0.12	0.041	0.14	0.033	0.08	0.174	0.15	0.022
Implementation Stage	0.23	0.000	0.18	0.007	0.21	0.001	0.25	0.000
ΔR²	0.07	0.000	0.05	0.002	0.06	0.001	0.08	0.000
Step 2: HRIS Features								
Performance Analytics Dashboard	0.21	0.003	0.17	0.015	0.12	0.056	0.14	0.037
360-Degree Feedback	0.16	0.021	0.31	0.000	0.35	0.000	0.28	0.000
Real-Time Goal Manage- ment	0.38	0.000	0.22	0.002	0.19	0.008	0.16	0.019
ΔR²	0.22	0.000	0.18	0.000	0.19	0.000	0.14	0.000
Step 3: Performance Management Effectiveness								
Evaluation Timeliness	0.25	0.000	0.18	0.010	0.14	0.037	0.09	0.162
Feedback Quality	0.19	0.006	0.29	0.000	0.22	0.001	0.31	0.000
Transparency	0.16	0.022	0.17	0.015	0.27	0.000	0.24	0.001
ΔR²	0.18	0.000	0.21	0.000	0.19	0.000	0.23	0.000
Total R²	0.47		0.44		0.44		0.45	

The analysis showed that cloud-based HRIS features explained an additional 14–22% variance in employee productivity dimensions over control variables ($\Delta R^2 = 0.14\text{--}0.22$, $p < 0.001$). "Real-Time Goal Management" emerged as the strongest predictor for "Work Efficiency" ($\beta = 0.38$, $p < 0.001$), while "360-Degree Feedback" was the strongest predictor for "Team Collaboration" ($\beta = 0.35$, $p < 0.001$).

Performance management effectiveness added an additional 18–23% unique variance ($\Delta R^2 = 0.18\text{--}0.23$, $p < 0.001$), suggesting a partial mediation role between HRIS implementation and employee productivity.

Path analysis confirmed the mediation model, with a significant indirect effect of cloud-based HRIS implementation on employee productivity through performance management effectiveness (indirect effect = 0.34, 95% CI [0.28, 0.41]).

The hierarchical regression analysis revealed that the implementation of cloud-based HRIS features significantly predicted employee productivity across multiple dimensions after controlling for organization size and implementation stage. Specifically, HRIS features accounted for an additional 14% to 22% of the variance in productivity outcomes ($\Delta R^2 = 0.14\text{--}0.22$, $p < 0.001$) across all models.

Among the HRIS features, **Real-Time Goal Management** emerged as the strongest predictor of **Work Efficiency** ($\beta = 0.38$, $p < 0.001$), highlighting its critical role in enhancing employees' ability to manage tasks effectively and meet deadlines. Meanwhile, **360-Degree Feedback** was the strongest predictor of **Team Collaboration** ($\beta = 0.35$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that comprehensive feedback mechanisms significantly improve interpersonal and cross-functional team dynamics.

In terms of output quality and innovation, **Feedback Quality** within the performance management process showed a strong positive association. It was particularly influential for both **Output Quality** ($\beta = 0.29$, $p < 0.001$) and **Innovation** ($\beta = 0.31$, $p < 0.001$), suggesting that timely, high-quality feedback fosters an environment conducive to creativity and high-standard deliverables.

Performance management effectiveness variables (evaluation timeliness, feedback quality, and transparency) contributed an additional 18% to 23% variance across productivity dimensions ($\Delta R^2 = 0.18\text{--}0.23$, $p < 0.001$). This indicates a **partial mediation** effect, where the improvement in performance management practices serves as a mechanism through which cloud-based HRIS features influence productivity.

Path Analysis and Mediation Effects

To further validate the mediating role of performance management effectiveness, a path analysis was conducted. The results supported a significant indirect effect of cloud-based HRIS implementation on employee productivity through performance management effectiveness (indirect effect = 0.34, 95% CI [0.28, 0.41]).

This finding suggests that the benefits of cloud-based HRIS are not solely direct; rather, they are largely realized through enhancements in the performance management processes, which in turn drive improvements in employee work efficiency, output quality, collaboration, and innovation.

The confirmed mediation model highlights that **effective implementation of cloud-based HRIS must be accompanied by strategic improvements in performance management systems** to fully leverage their potential impact on employee productivity.

Model fit indices for the mediation model indicated a good fit:

- Comparative Fit Index (CFI) = 0.958

- Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) = 0.951
- Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) = 0.052
- Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) = 0.045

These results confirm the robustness of the proposed mediation model and emphasize the interconnectedness between technology implementation and human resource management practices in achieving productivity gains.

4.1.4 Stakeholder Perceptions of Cloud-Based HRIS Value

The fourth research question explored stakeholder perceptions. Thematic analysis of qualitative interview data revealed five key themes regarding perceptions of cloud-based HRIS value, summarized in Table 5.

Table 5. Thematic Analysis of Stakeholder Perceptions

Theme	Frequency	HR Managers	Employees	Example Quote
Accessibility and Flexibility	85%	92%	82%	“The ability to access performance data anytime, anywhere has transformed our evaluation processes.” (HR Manager, Indonesia)
Transparency and Objectivity	78%	73%	81%	“The system makes evaluation criteria clearer and reduces perceptions of bias.” (Employee, Malaysia)
Integration and Holism	72%	88%	65%	“The greatest value is linking performance data with learning and development.” (HR Manager, Singapore)
Privacy and Security Concerns	58%	42%	67%	“I still worry about who can access my performance data and how it is used.” (Employee, Thailand)
Technology Dependency	53%	45%	58%	“When the system fails, the entire evaluation process halts, creating excessive dependency.” (HR Manager, Philippines)

Comparative analysis revealed significant differences between HR managers and employees ($\chi^2 = 32.18$, $p < 0.001$), with managers emphasizing integration and holism more (88% vs. 65%), while employees expressed greater privacy concerns (67% vs. 42%). Country differences were also observed, with Singaporean respondents showing the most positive perceptions overall (mean score = 4.3/5), while Thai respondents reported the highest privacy concerns (72%).

4.1.5 Optimal Model for Cloud-Based HRIS Implementation

Based on a comprehensive analysis of both quantitative and qualitative data, Figure 1 presents the optimal implementation and evaluation model for cloud-based HRIS in the Asian context, addressing the fifth research question.

The model identifies five critical components for optimal implementation:

1. **Contextual Readiness Assessment:** Comprehensive evaluation of cultural, organizational, and technological factors before implementation.

2. **Phased Feature Implementation:** Modular approach prioritizing high-impact features during early stages (e.g., Performance Analytics Dashboard, Real-Time Goal Management).
3. **Process Integration:** Alignment of HRIS with existing business processes and performance management systems.
4. **User Adoption Strategy:** Tailored communication and training programs for various stakeholders, with special focus on addressing privacy concerns.
5. **Continuous Evaluation Framework:** Measurable metrics to assess the impact on performance management processes and employee productivity.

4.2 DISCUSSION

The findings of this study enrich the understanding of the impact of cloud-based HRIS on performance management and employee productivity, offering several significant theoretical and practical implications.

4.2.1 Cloud-Based HRIS Features and Performance Management Effectiveness

The strong correlation between the Performance Analytics Dashboard and Process Transparency ($r = 0.82$) aligns with the concept of "HR transparency" proposed by [15], which emphasizes that visibility and accessibility of performance data can enhance perceptions of fairness and trust in evaluation systems. This finding extends the results of [17], who identified only a moderate correlation ($r = 0.61$) between data visualization and transparency, possibly due to limitations in analytical capabilities in earlier systems.

The strong relationship between Real-Time Goal Management and Strategic Alignment ($r = 0.88$) supports [3] argument that cloud technology enables more dynamic integration between individual and organizational objectives. However, the lower correlation with Employee Development ($r = 0.55$) suggests a potential gap in linking performance management to career development, consistent with [19] critique that systems often emphasize evaluation rather than development.

4.2.2 Contextual Influences on Cloud-Based HRIS Implementation

The negative moderating effect of Hierarchical Orientation ($\beta = -0.31$) provides empirical support for [29] argument that hierarchical organizational structures can hinder the potential of cloud-based HRIS. [28] previously identified a similar, albeit weaker, moderating effect ($\beta = -0.22$), possibly due to a narrower focus on a single country. The cross-country variations observed in this study highlight the importance of a culturally tailored approach, thus expanding upon the universal implementation frameworks proposed by [2].

Top Management Support as the strongest positive moderator ($\beta = 0.58$) reaffirms the critical role of leadership in digital transformation, consistent with the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) framework applied by [9] in the HRIS context. However, the effect size identified here is significantly larger than that reported by [14] ($\beta = 0.31$), likely reflecting the stronger authority structures prevalent in Asian organizational contexts.

4.2.3 Impact on Productivity and Performance

The unique contribution of cloud-based HRIS features to the variance in employee productivity (14–22%) exceeds the findings of [22], who reported a range of 9–15%, likely reflecting advancements in recent technologies and better system integration. "Real-Time Goal Management" as the strongest predictor of Work Efficiency ($\beta = 0.38$) supports [26] theoretical assertion that continuous and actionable feedback mechanisms are key drivers linking HRIS to productivity improvements.

The mediating role of performance management effectiveness (indirect effect = 0.34) confirms the conceptual model proposed by [25], suggesting that cloud-based HRIS primarily operate through improvements in performance management processes rather than exerting a direct impact on productivity. However, the partial nature of the mediation indicates the presence of alternative pathways, possibly related to increased employee motivation or administrative efficiency, warranting further investigation.

4.2.4 Stakeholder Perceptions and Adoption

Significant perceptual differences between HR managers and employees reveal a gap that has not been fully explored in previous literature. While [13] noted perception differences during adoption stages, this study identifies systematic differences in perceived value post-implementation, carrying important implications for change management and communication strategies.

Privacy concerns, emerging as a dominant theme (58% of respondents), particularly among employees (67%), are consistent with the findings of [8], albeit at a higher magnitude. These concerns appear more pronounced in countries characterized by higher uncertainty avoidance, expanding the understanding of how specific cultural values moderate technology acceptance, as proposed by [27].

4.2.5 Practical Implications

The optimal implementation model identified in this study offers a comprehensive practical framework for organizations across Asia. The phased feature implementation approach supports [23] argument for the importance of achieving "quick wins" to build momentum and acceptance during technology rollouts. Contextual readiness assessments address the need identified by [30]to consider organizational and cultural dynamics prior to deployment.

The user adoption strategy, with a special focus on addressing privacy concerns, responds directly to the primary issues identified through interviews, aligning with [21]recommendations for a more nuanced change management approach when implementing HRIS. Furthermore, the continuous evaluation framework addresses the measurement gap identified by [12], providing practical tools for organizations to assess the ROI of their investments in cloud-based HRIS.

5. Comparison

This study advances the understanding of the effectiveness of cloud-based HRIS in enhancing performance management processes and employee productivity within Asian organizations. Table 6 presents a comprehensive comparison between this study and recent state-of-the-art research in the field of cloud-based HRIS.

Table 6. Comparison with State-of-the-Art Research

Dimension	This Study	Qi et al. (2022)	Wang et al. (2021)	arni & Varma (2023)	Lin et al. (2021)
Geographical Scope	Five Asian countries (Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Philippines)	China	China	India	Taiwan
Sample Size	1,500 (500 HR professionals, 1,000 employees)	378 HR managers	412 employees	anagers and employees)	215 IT and HR managers
Methodology	Mixed-method (survey, interviews, document analysis)	Quantitative survey	Limited survey and interviews	ititative survey	Quantitative survey

Focus of Analysis	Relationship between specific HRIS features and performance management/productivity dimensions	General impact of cloud-based HRIS on HR performance	Employee perceptions of performance management systems	Perceived role of employee engagement	Implementation status in SMEs
Contextual Analysis	Comprehensive (cultural, organizational, technological)	Limited to organizational factors	Focused on manufacturing context	Limited to Indian context	Focused on technological barriers
Effect Size of HRIS Implementation on Performance Management	$\beta = 0.67, R^2 = 0.58$	$\beta = 0.41, R^2 = 0.38$	$\beta = 0.35, R^2 = 0.31$	$\beta = 0.52, R^2 = 0.44$	Not measured
Contextual Moderation Effects	Comprehensive (9 moderators)	3 organizational moderators	Not measured	4 technological moderators	4 technological moderators
Specific Feature Analysis	7 features analyzed individually	Limited to 3 features	No specific feature analysis	5 features analyzed	5 features analyzed
Implementation Model	Comprehensive 5-component model	No implementation model	No implementation model	3-component model	Technology adoption framework
Methodological Triangulation	Comprehensive (quantitative, qualitative, document analysis)	No triangulation	Limited triangulation	Triangulation	No triangulation

This study offers several critical advancements over previous state-of-the-art research:

First, the geographical scope encompassing five Asian countries provides broader cross-cultural insights compared to prior studies focused solely on single countries, such as those by [3;19], which were limited to China. This cross-country approach enabled the identification of both common patterns and regional variations, as reflected in the cultural moderation analysis highlighting different impacts of hierarchical orientation across countries.

Second, the larger sample size ($n=1,500$) and the more diverse composition (including both HR professionals and employees) provide stronger external validity compared to previous research. In contrast, [17] involved only 215 respondents, primarily IT and HR managers, thus less representative of end-user perspectives. The inclusive approach adopted here enabled systematic comparison between managerial and employee perceptions, revealing significant gaps in perceived value and privacy concerns.

Third, the measured effect size of cloud-based HRIS implementation on performance management ($\beta = 0.67, R^2 = 0.58$) is larger than those reported by [3] ($\beta = 0.41, R^2 = 0.38$) and [19] ($\beta = 0.35, R^2 = 0.31$), likely due to a more detailed analysis of specific system features and performance management dimensions compared to the more general measurements in previous studies. This study analyzed seven cloud-based HRIS features separately, whereas [3] evaluated only three features.

Fourth, the comprehensive analysis of nine contextual moderating factors (encompassing cultural, organizational, and technological dimensions) offers a more nuanced understanding of the conditions influencing cloud-based HRIS effectiveness than previous research. [21] examined only two moderators, while [17] focused exclusively on technological moderators, neglecting cultural and organizational factors found to be highly significant in this study.

Fifth, the use of a mixed-method approach with comprehensive triangulation between quantitative, qualitative, and document analysis data enhances the depth and credibility of findings compared to more limited methodologies. [19] employed limited triangulation, while other studies relied solely on quantitative survey data, restricting insights into causal mechanisms and contextual factors.

Sixth, the five-component implementation model developed in this study offers a more comprehensive practical framework compared to the three-component model proposed by [21] or the more generic technology adoption frameworks of [17]. This model explicitly integrates contextual considerations and phased feature rollout strategies informed by relative feature impact analysis.

The most significant contribution of this study is the identification of specific relationships between cloud-based HRIS features and employee performance management and productivity dimensions, contrasting with previous studies that largely assessed overall system impacts. For instance, the finding that the "Performance Analytics Dashboard" showed the highest correlation with "Process Transparency" ($r = 0.82$), and "Real-Time Goal Management" with "Strategic Goal Alignment" ($r = 0.88$), offers much richer insights into the specific mechanisms linking technology to outcomes.

Comparison with the state-of-the-art suggests that this study provides several significant advancements in the understanding of cloud-based HRIS effectiveness within the Asian context. Nevertheless, opportunities remain for future research, particularly in exploring deeper sectoral differences and the long-term impacts of system implementation through longitudinal studies.

6. Conclusions

This study investigated the effectiveness of cloud-based Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS) in enhancing performance management processes and employee productivity within Asian organizations. Utilizing a mixed-method approach involving 1,500 respondents from five Asian countries, several key findings were identified.

The results show that specific cloud-based HRIS features are significantly associated with dimensions of performance management effectiveness, with the Performance Analytics Dashboard ($r = 0.82$) and Real-Time Goal Management ($r = 0.88$) being the features with the highest correlations with process transparency and strategic alignment, respectively. Cloud-based HRIS implementation accounted for 58% of the variance in performance management effectiveness ($R^2 = 0.58$) and contributed significantly to employee productivity, both directly and through the mediation of performance management processes.

Contextual factors played a critical role, with Hierarchical Orientation exerting a negative moderating effect ($\beta = -0.31$) and Top Management Support emerging as the strongest positive moderator ($\beta = 0.58$). Significant differences in stakeholder perceptions were identified, with employees expressing greater privacy concerns (67% compared to 42% among HR managers).

These findings support the argument that the effectiveness of cloud-based HRIS is highly contextual, depending on the alignment between technological features, organizational factors, and cultural context. The five-component implementation model developed offers a practical framework for organizations in Asia seeking to optimize their investments in HR technologies.

The main contribution of this study lies in providing a comprehensive empirical understanding of the specific relationships between cloud-based HRIS features and organizational outcomes within the Asian context, thereby extending a literature base predominantly grounded in Western contexts. The developed implementation model also offers practical contributions for organizations evaluating or implementing cloud-based HRIS.

The limitations of the study include its cross-sectional design, which limits causal inference, and uneven sectoral representation within the sample. Future research is recommended to adopt longitudinal designs to examine long-term impacts, explore deeper sectoral variations, and develop more detailed ROI metrics for cloud-based HRIS investments.

Author Contributions: A short paragraph specifying their individual contributions must be provided for research articles with several authors (**mandatory for more than 1 author**). The following statements should be used “Conceptualization: X.X. and Y.Y.; Methodology: X.X.; Software: X.X.; Validation: X.X., Y.Y. and Z.Z.; Formal analysis: X.X.; Investigation: X.X.; Resources: X.X.; Data curation: X.X.; Writing—original draft preparation: X.X.; Writing—review and editing: X.X.; Visualization: X.X.; Supervision: X.X.; Project administration: X.X.; Funding acquisition: Y.Y.”

Funding: Please add: “This research received no external funding” or “This research was funded by NAME OF FUNDER, grant number XXX”. Check carefully that the details given are accurate and use the standard spelling of funding agency names. Any errors may affect your future funding (**mandatory**).

Data Availability Statement: We encourage all authors of articles published in FAITH journals to share their research data. This section provides details regarding where data supporting reported results can be found, including links to publicly archived datasets analyzed or generated during the study. Where no new data were created or data unavailable due to privacy or ethical restrictions, a statement is still required.

Acknowledgments: In this section, you can acknowledge any support given that is not covered by the author contribution or funding sections. This may include administrative and technical support or donations in kind (e.g., materials used for experiments). Additionally, A statement of AI tools usage transparency has been included in the Acknowledgement section, if applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: Declare conflicts of interest or state (**mandatory**), “The authors declare no conflict of interest.” Authors must identify and declare any personal circumstances or interests that may be perceived as inappropriately influencing the representation or interpretation of reported research results. Any role of the funders in the study's design; in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results must be declared in this section. If there is no role, please state, “The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results”.

References

- [1] T. Bondarouk, E. Parry, and E. Furtmueller, “Electronic HRM: Four decades of research on adoption and consequences,” *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 98–131, 2017, [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2016.1245672>
- [2] P. Kumar and V. Palanisamy, “Impact of digital transformation on human resource management: A case study of a telecom company,” *Int. J. Strateg. Decis. Sci.*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 1–20, 2020, doi: 10.4018/IJSDS.2020070101.
- [3] C. Qi, X. Zhang, and A. T. L. Chan, “Exploring the impact of cloud-based HRM systems on employee performance: The mediating role of knowledge management capabilities,” *Int. J. Manpow.*, vol. 43, no. 7, pp. 1468–1489, 2022, doi: 10.1108/IJM-08-2020-0389.
- [4] C. Lin, Y. Huang, and M. Cheng, “How do IT capabilities impact firm performance through technology investment and business process effectiveness? An empirical investigation of manufacturing firms in Taiwan,” *Inf. Syst. Front.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 489–504, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10796-018-9864-5.
- [5] R. Al-Dmour, E. Al Haj Dawood, H. Al-Dmour, and R. Masa'deh, “The effect of knowledge management processes and business intelligence systems on organizational performance in the context of Industry 4.0,” *VINE J. Inf. Knowl. Manag. Syst.*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 533–556, 2021, doi: 10.1108/VJIKMS-03-2020-0054.
- [6] X. Wang, J. Guo, Y. Wu, and N. Liu, “Exploring the determinants of cloud computing adoption for enterprises in developing countries: Evidence from China,” *Inf. Dev.*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 425–441, 2020, doi: 10.1177/0266666919868885.
- [7] A. Khanna and S. A. Narula, “Determinants of cloud-based HRIS adoption: A perspective from developing economies,” *Int. J. Hum. Cap. Inf. Technol. Prof.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2023, doi: 10.4018/IJHCITP.315288.

- [8] A. A. Hakeem, "Cloud-based human resource information systems: Exploring implementation challenges in Southeast Asian context," *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Stud.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 78–96, 2022, doi: 10.5296/ijhrs.v12i2.19342.
- [9] S. C. Park and J. W. Kim, "Cloud ERP adoption by organizations: Testing the technology-organization-environment framework," *Inf. Dev.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 325–342, 2021, doi: 10.1177/0266666920928376.
- [10] J. Y. Jiang, L. Y. Sun, and K. S. Law, "Value of human resource analytics: A multi-level framework and integration of employee and organizational outcomes," *Hum. Resour. Manag. Rev.*, vol. 29, no. 3, p. 100719, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100719.
- [11] Y. Chang, X. Wang, and D. B. Arnett, "Enhancing firm performance: The role of digital capability and digital business models," *Ind. Mark. Manag.*, vol. 102, pp. 226–238, 2022, [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2022.01.017>
- [12] S. Yunus, N. Zakuan, and N. Hisyamudin, "Factors influencing cloud computing adoption in Malaysian public sector," *J. Theor. Appl. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 97, no. 8, pp. 2157–2177, 2019.
- [13] A. R. Chakraborty and D. Al Shaik, "Factors influencing cloud-based enterprise resource planning implementation in the higher education sector," *Enterp. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 798–831, 2018, [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17517575.2018.1456497>
- [14] R. D. Johnson and K. Diman, "An investigation of the factors driving the adoption of cloud-based human resource information systems by small and medium enterprises," *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Stud.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 120–140, 2020, doi: 10.5296/ijhrs.v10i4.17935.
- [15] J. H. Marler and J. W. Boudreau, "An evidence-based review of HR Analytics," *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 3–26, 2017, doi: 10.1080/09585192.2016.1244699.
- [16] S. Strohmeier and E. Parry, "Digitalization and artificial intelligence in HR: International evidence on adoption and effectiveness," *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.*, vol. 33, no. 16, pp. 3211–3243, 2022, doi: 10.1080/09585192.2022.2022815.
- [17] X. Lin, R. Wu, Y. T. Lim, J. Han, and S. C. Chen, "Understanding the implementation status of cloud-based human resource information systems in Asian SMEs," *Inf. Syst. Front.*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 1137–1153, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10796-020-10027-2.
- [18] H. Zhang and S. Kumar, "Cloud-based performance management systems: A longitudinal study of technology adoption and impact," *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.*, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 1250–1281, 2019, doi: 10.1080/09585192.2018.1539864.
- [19] T. Wang, L. Long, Y. Zhang, and W. He, "Employee perceptions of performance management systems in Chinese manufacturing firms: The role of cloud HRM implementation," *Manag. Organ. Rev.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 142–173, 2021, doi: 10.1017/mor.2020.56.
- [20] C. Qi and B. S. Reiche, "Cloud-based HRM impacts on performance management: A meta-analysis and research agenda," *J. Int. Bus. Stud.*, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 1355–1386, 2022, doi: 10.1057/s41267-022-00534-6.
- [21] S. Kulkarni and A. Varma, "Cloud HRM and performance management: The mediating role of employee engagement in Indian organizations," *South Asian J. Hum. Resour. Manag.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 46–70, 2023, doi: 10.1177/22311742221147506.
- [22] F. Mahmood, A. Z. Khan, and M. B. Khan, "Digital human resource management: A case study of Asian financial institutions," *Glob. Manag. J. Acad. Corp. Stud.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 84–99, 2020, doi: 10.31703/gmjacs.2020(X-I).07.
- [23] Y. Chen and H. Huang, "Cloud-based HRM and productivity: An integrated theoretical framework for the digital workplace," *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1047–1079, 2023, [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2022.2051484>
- [24] J. Rajapakse, M. Singh, and H. Smuts, "Determinants of cloud HRIS implementation success in emerging economies:

- Evidence from Sri Lanka,” *Inf. Technol. Dev.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 536–561, 2021, doi: 10.1080/02681102.2020.1830315.
- [25] R. Joshi and S. Tyagi, “Beyond traditional metrics: Measuring the impact of cloud HRIS on employee productivity in knowledge work environments,” *Hum. Resour. Manag. Rev.*, vol. 32, no. 2, p. 100845, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.hrmr.2021.100845.
- [26] H. K. Kim and J. Park, “The impact of cloud-based HR technologies on knowledge sharing and employee performance: A case study of Korean service firms,” *J. Knowl. Manag.*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 1169–1193, 2020, doi: 10.1108/JKM-10-2019-0534.
- [27] J. Lee, Y. Shiue, and C. Chen, “Cultural differences in adoption of cloud-based HR technology: Evidence from East Asian firms,” *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 146, pp. 356–367, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2019.06.003.
- [28] S. Rahman and Z. Ahmed, “Hierarchical structures and cloud HRM adoption: Navigating implementation challenges in Southeast Asian organizations,” *Int. J. Organ. Anal.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 509–527, 2021, doi: 10.1108/IJOA-06-2020-2253.
- [29] K. Upadhyay and A. K. Singh, “Contextual determinants of cloud HRM effectiveness: A cross-cultural analysis of Asian markets,” *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 156, p. 113352, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113352.
- [30] A. Gupta, V. Joshi, and S. Bhattacharya, “Cloud HRM implementation challenges in Asian markets: A comparative analysis of regulatory environments,” *Int. J. Hum. Cap. Inf. Technol. Prof.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–22, 2022, [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJHCITP.299060>
- [31] J. Baker, “The technology–organization–environment framework BT – Information systems theory,” Y. Dwivedi, M. Wade, and S. Schneberger, Eds., Springer, 2012, pp. 231–245. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-6108-2_12.
- [32] J. F. Hair, J. J. Risher, M. Sarstedt, and C. M. Ringle, “When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM,” *Eur. Bus. Rev.*, vol. 31, 2019.
- [33] V. Braun and V. Clarke, “Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis,” *Qual. Res. Sport. Exerc. Heal.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 589–597, 2019, doi: 10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806.
- [34] J. Baker, “The technology–organization–environment framework,” in *Information systems theory*, Y. Dwivedi, M. Wade, and S. Schneberger, Eds., Springer, 2012, pp. 231–245. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-6108-2_12